1	Joseph R. Saveri (State Bar No. 130064)					
2	Steven N. Williams (State Bar No. 175489)					
	Cadio Zirpoli (State Bar No. 179108)					
3	Christopher K.L. Young (State Bar No. 318371)					
4	Louis A. Kessler (State Bar No. 243703)					
.	Elissa A. Buchanan (State Bar No. 249996)					
5	Travis Manfredi (State Bar No. 281779)					
6	JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, LLP					
6	601 California Street, Suite 1000					
7	San Francisco, California 94108					
	Telephone: (415) 500-6800					
8	Facsimile: (415) 395-9940 Email: jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com					
9	Email: jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com swilliams@saverilawfirm.com					
	czirpoli@saverilawfirm.com					
10	cyoung@saverilawfirm.com					
11	lkessler@saverilawfirm.com					
	eabuchanan@saverilawfirm.com					
12	tmanfredi@saverilawfirm.com					
13						
13	Counsel for Individual and Representative					
14	Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class					
15	TINITED CONTO					
13						
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
17	OAKLAND DIVISION					
1/		Case Nos. 4:22-cv-06823-JST				
18	J. DOE 1, et al.,	4:22-cv-07074-JST				
10	Individual and Representative Plaintiffs,					
19	individual and Representative Fiantinis,					
20	v.	PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE				
	GITHUB, INC., et al.,	MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL				
21	Defendants.	PORTIONS OF FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT				
22	Detendants.	COMPLAINT				
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
-						
	1 4 22 0 (022 TOT)					

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiffs hereby move the Court to file under seal portions of their First Amended Complaint ("FAC"). The Court previously determined that Plaintiffs may proceed pseudonymously. *See Doe 1 v. GitHub, Inc.*, \_\_\_ F. Supp. 3d \_\_\_, 2023 WL 3449131, at \*7-9 (N.D. Cal. May 11, 2023). The portions sought to be filed under seal contain information that would allow members of the public to discern the identities of Plaintiffs. Accompanying this Motion is the Declaration of Travis Manfredi in Support of Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to File Under Seal ("Manfredi Decl.") and a proposed order.

## II. ARGUMENT

In the Ninth Circuit, when a party seeks to seal portions of a complaint, the compelling reasons standard is typically used. *See*, *e.g.*, *In re NVIDIA Corp. Derivative Litig.*, C 06-06110 SBA, 2008 WL 1859067, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 23, 2008); *Ojmar US, LLC v. Sec. People, Inc.*, No. 16-CV-04948-HSG, 2016 WL 6091543, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 19, 2016); *see also In re ZF-TRW Airbag Control Units Prod. Liab. Litig.*, No. ML1902905JAKFFMX, 2020 WL 13688234, at \*3 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 7, 2020) ("Although the Ninth Circuit appears not to have explicitly stated what standard applies to the sealing of a complaint, many courts in this district and elsewhere have found that the compelling reasons standard applies."). This is because complaint is "the root, the foundation, the basis by which a suit arises and must be disposed of." *NVIDIA Corp. Derivative Litig.*, 2008 WL 1859067, at \*3.

Compelling reasons "outweigh the public's interest in disclosure and justify sealing court records . . . when such 'court files might have become a vehicle for improper purposes,' such as the use of records to gratify private spite, promote public scandal, circulate libelous statements, or release trade secrets . . . ." *Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006) (citing *Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978)). The party seeking to seal bears the burden of showing compelling reasons apply. *See id.* at 1178.

This Court has already determined Plaintiffs may proceed pseudonymously at this stage of the case under the five-factor test applicable in the Ninth Circuit. *Doe 1*, 2023 WL 3449131, at \*7–9 (citing, *inter alia*, *Doe v. Kamehameha Schs./Bernice Pauahi Bishop Est.*, 596 F.3d 1036, 1042

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

II

(9th Cir. 2010) & *Does I Thru XXIII v. Advanced Textile Corp.*, 214 F.3d 1058, 1068 (9th Cir. 2000)). Amongst other things, the Court determined that proceeding pseudonymously at this stage is appropriate because Plaintiffs harbored reasonable fear that threats to their lives may be carried out against them if their identities were to become public. *Id.* at \*8.<sup>1</sup> This conclusion is equally applicable here where distinctive descriptors of Plaintiffs' unique code would enable members of the public to discern Plaintiffs' true identities. Given Plaintiffs' reasonable fear of physical reprisal, Plaintiffs should be permitted to file under seal information that could lead to the disclosure of their true identities.

## III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the Plaintiffs request the following paragraphs of the FAC be filed under seal:

FAC Paragraph(s) #	Description of Information	Reason for Sealing
19–23	Each paragraph contains one Plaintiff's name	The Court has already determined it is appropriate for Plaintiffs to proceed pseudonymously at this stage due to Plaintiffs' reasonable fear that credible threats of physical violence may be carried out. <i>See Doe 1</i> , 2023 WL 3449131, at *7-9.
101–103	Examples and descriptions of code Doe 2 made available to the public on GitHub.	To protect Doe 2 from credible threats of physical violence. Doe 2 could easily be identified by searching for this code on GitHub and other public repositories.
106-109 & 111	Examples and descriptions of code Doe 1 made available to the public on GitHub.	To protect Doe 1 from credible threats of physical violence. Doe 1 could easily be identified by searching for this code on GitHub and other public repositories.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court also determined that Defendants are not prejudiced because Plaintiffs have already disclosed their true names to them subject to the underlying protective order, and that the public interest factor does not weigh against anonymity at this stage. *Id.* at \*8–9.

1	114-118 & 122-125	Examples and descriptions of	To protect Doe 5 from credible	
2		code Doe 5 made available to	threats of physical violence. Doe 5	
		the public on GitHub.	could easily be identified by searching for this code on GitHub and other	
3			public repositories.	
4	Manfredi Decl. ¶ 4.			
5	D 4 1 1 0 2022	D	//I ./I D C	
6	Dated: June 8, 2023	By:	/s/ Joseph R. Saveri Joseph R. Saveri	
			J000p.1.21. 0.0.01.	
7		, _	Saveri (State Bar No. 130064)	
8		Steven N. Williams (State Bar No. 175489) Cadio Zirpoli (State Bar No. 179108)		
9		Christopher K.L. Young (State Bar No. 318371)		
10		Louis A. Kessler (State Bar No. 243703)		
		Elissa A. Buchanan (State Bar No. 249996) Travis Manfredi (State Bar No. 281779)		
11		JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, LLP		
12		601 California Street, Suite 1000		
13		San Francisco, California 94108 Telephone: (415) 500-6800		
14		Facsimile		
		Email:	jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com	
15			swilliams@saverilawfirm.com czirpoli@saverilawfirm.com	
16			cyoung@saverilawfirm.com	
17			lkessler@saverilawfirm.com	
18			eabuchanan@saverilawfirm.com tmanfredi@saverilawfirm.com	
19				
		Matthou	Duttonial (State Dan No. 250052)	
20		Matthew Butterick (State Bar No. 250953) 1920 Hillhurst Avenue, #406		
21		Los Angeles, CA 90027		
22		Telephon Facsimile		
23		Facsimile Email:	: (415) 395-9940 mb@buttericklaw.com	
24		Counsel for Individual and Representative		
25		Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class		
26				
27				
28				